

## **ACCREDITATION EVIDENCE**

Title: Teaching Evaluation In Person

Evidence Type: Corroborating

Date:

**WAN:** 22-0271

Classification: Resource

PII: No Redacted:

No



## Teaching Evaluation (In-person course) Semester/Year:

Name:	Course:	
Division:	Department:	
Division Chair:	Dept. Facilitator:	
Time:	Location:	

The lists below each heading are intended as guidelines, not mandatory checkpoints. Thus, the observer would not expect to see each item during every class. This evaluation is a formative tool that will be used in the Annual Faculty Evaluation.

Section 1: Organization and	Presenta	tion		Scale: 0-2
Guidelines	Absent	Needs	Meets	Noteworthy aspects &
		Attention	Expectations	Comments on guideline
Clearly States the purpose				
of this session and/or				
provides class				
outline/overview				
Presents topics in a logical				
sequence.				
States connections				
between the ideas				
presented				
presented				
Summarizes the major				
points at end of lesson				
Communicates clearly and				
at a reasonable pace				
)/aniaa tana ananya and				
Varies tone, energy, and				
emphasis				
Highlights deadlines and				
upcoming events and				
expectations when				
appropriate				
Total points earned (minus lowest value) in section 1 =				

Additional comments (if needed):

Section 2: Interaction Between Students and Instructor Scale: 0-2					
Guidelines	Absent	Needs	Meets	Noteworthy aspec	ts & Comments on
		Attention	Expectations	guid	eline
Obtains appropriate feedback to					
assess students' understanding					
of this material					
Encourages and responds to					
questions and comments					
Facilitates critical thinking by					
encouraging students to					
question, make connections, and					
seek their own solutions					
Restates questions or answers					
when necessary					
Uses materials and/or					
technology effectively					
teennology encetively					
Students actively participate					
Total points earned (minus lowest value) in section 2 =					

Additional Comments (if needed):

Observation Total:			
0-19 points:	20 + points:	Observations receiving a "needs improvement" rating will receive a follow-up observation; the higher score of the two observations shall be	
Needs Improvement	Meets Expectations	reported on the End of Year Evaluation.	

Supplemental Evaluation (if required; during a second evaluation after receiving a "needs improvement on the first evaluation) If the supervisor requests or requires a supplemental evaluation, a content/design expert will be assigned to evaluate the course. The evaluation will be placed here. Division Chair Summary & Feedback

Faculty Comments Click or tap to add comments

Faculty Signature	Division Chair Signature
Click or tap to place name	Click or tap to place name